

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                                | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/911,090                                                     | 07/23/2001  | Philip B. Romanik    | 4011                |                  |
| 7590 12/19/2006<br>Philip B. Romanik<br>116 Parker Avenue East |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|                                                                |             |                      | GOLD, AVI M         |                  |
| West Haven, CT 06516                                           |             | •                    | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                                                                |             |                      | 2157                |                  |
|                                                                |             |                      |                     |                  |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE                         |             | MAIL DATE            | DELIVERY MODE       |                  |
| 3 MONTHS                                                       |             | 12/19/2006           | PAPER               |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| ·                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                      |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Application No.                                                                                                                                                                             | Applicant(s)                                                                                         |  |  |  |
| Office Action Cumman                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 09/911,090                                                                                                                                                                                  | ROMANIK ET AL.                                                                                       |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Examiner                                                                                                                                                                                    | Art Unit                                                                                             |  |  |  |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Avi Gold                                                                                                                                                                                    | 2157                                                                                                 |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | ears on the cover sheet with the c                                                                                                                                                          | orrespondence address                                                                                |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                      |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Section 1                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | eptember 2006.                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                      |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | action is non-final.                                                                                                                                                                        |                                                                                                      |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                      |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                      |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | wn from consideration.                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                      |  |  |  |
| Application Papers                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                      |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | er.                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                      |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                      |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                      |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •                                                                                                                                                     | • •                                                                                                  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                             | ,                                                                                                    |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | s have been received.<br>s have been received in Applicati<br>rity documents have been receive<br>u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).                                                                     | on No<br>ed in this National Stage                                                                   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | <u></u> .                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                      |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                      |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                             | atent Application (PTO-152)                                                                          |  |  |  |

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is responsive to the amendment filed on September 15, 2006. Claims 1-18 are pending.

#### Response to Amendment

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4-9, and 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka, U.S. Patent No. 6,564,256, further in view of Ohtake et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,111,591.

Tanaka teaches the invention substantially as claimed including an image transfer system which transfers medical image data on a DICOM (Digital Imaging and Communication in Medicine) standard communication system (see abstract).

Regarding claims 1 and 14, Tanaka teaches a system for transmitting digital image signals from a client device to a server device, comprising:

establishing a connection between one or more client devices and server device (col. 5, lines 33-40, Tanaka discloses terminals and image servers transferring data); optionally making a copy of the image to free up system resources on the client;

Art Unit: 2157

measuring the client resource availability of local resources and available processor time and maintaining historical information and trends (col. 6, lines 49-56, col. 10, lines 4-15);

measuring the status and performance of the network connecting the client device and server device, and maintaining historical information and trends (col. 6, lines 49-56, col. 10, lines 4-15, Tanaka discloses checking if the transfer of data is at high efficiency, checking if any of the servers fail, and taking care of the failure if it exists);

reducing the size of images to conserve storage space in the queue or to reduce transmission time between the client and server (col. 10, lines 53-65, Tanaka discloses reducing the size of an image if it is too large);

transferring the image from the client device to the server device as a digital signal (col. 5, lines 33-46, Tanaka discloses image data transferred between terminals and servers);

persisting the image on the server device until it is processed or saved (col. 6, lines 49-56, col. 10, lines 4-15).

Tanaka fails to teach the limitation further including placing a copy of the image in a client queue if the image cannot be transmitted immediately and increasing the size of the client queue if it becomes full.

However, Ohtake teaches an image processing system and an information processing system for receiving data from a number of host systems via a number of input interfaces and processing the received data (see abstract). Ohtake teaches the

use of an image buffer and enlarging the buffer size when that buffer is full (col. 1, lines 53-54, col. 2, lines 6-16).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Tanaka in view of Ohtake to place a copy of the image in a client queue if the image cannot be transmitted immediately and increase the size of the client queue if it becomes full. One would be motivated to do so because it allows an image processing system to decrease the loads on host systems.

Regarding claims 2 and 15, Tanaka teaches a system according to claims 1 and 14, wherein the step of increasing the size of the client queue includes an upper limit to prevent the queue from growing beyond a specified size (col. 2, lines 6-16, Ohtake discloses an upper limit value for the buffer).

Regarding claim 4, Tanaka teaches a system according to claim 1, wherein the step of transferring the image signal from the client to the server can comprise:

transmitting image data from one or more clients to a gateway server, such that the clients consider the gateway server to be a server;

buffering the image data on the gateway server;

transmitting image data from the gateway server to the server, such that the server considers the gateway server to be a client (col. 5, lines 33-55, col. 6, lines 49-56, col. 10, lines 4-52).

Regarding claims 5 and 16, Tanaka teaches a system according to claims 1 and 14, wherein the step of reducing the size of an image comprises:

selecting one or more reduction methods to reduce the image size from a plurality of lossless or lossy compression methods;

reducing the current image, or any image in the queue when the queue becomes full;

periodically reducing the size of the images in the queue, using reduction methods when processor resources are available (col. 10, lines 53-65).

Regarding claims 6 and 17, Tanaka teaches a system according to claims 5 and 16. wherein the step of selecting one of more reduction methods comprises:

estimating the reduction in image size possible for a specific reduction method; estimating the cost of this reduction where the cost includes the resources required for reduction as well as the time to reduce the image;

performing the reduction if the cost is allowable and the reduction is considered meaningful;

evaluating other reduction methods if the desired amount of reduction has not been achieved (col. 10, lines 53-65).

Regarding claims 7 and 18, Tanaka teaches a system according to claims 6 and 17, wherein the step of determining if the cost is allowable comprises:

checking the current system resources to see if sufficient resources and time are available to reduce the image;

checking historical system resources and trends to estimate future resource availability;

checking the current network parameters such as available bandwidth and throughput;

checking historical network conditions and trends to estimate future network conditions (col. 6, lines 49-56, col. 10, lines 4-15).

Regarding claim 8, Tanaka teaches a system according to claim 1, wherein the step of transferring the image signal from the client device to the server device comprises:

storing the received image in a server queue or on a networked file system; increasing the size of the server queue if it becomes full (col. 10, lines 18-39); reducing the size of images to conserve storage space in the queue or to reduce storage requirements in the image database (col. 10, lines 53-65).

Regarding claim 9, Tanaka teaches a system according to claim 8, wherein the step of increasing the size of the server queue includes an upper limit to prevent the queue from growing beyond a specified size (col. 10, lines 18-52).

Art Unit: 2157

Regarding claim 12, Tanaka teaches a system for transmitting digital image signals from a client device to a server device, comprising:

establishing a connection between one or more client devices and server device (col. 5, lines 33-40);

optionally making a copy of the image to free up system resources on the client (col. 5, lines 47-55);

dividing the available network bandwidth between the client and server into one or more pieces and assigning certain images to be transmitted using these reserved channels (col. 5, lines 47-55, Tanaka discloses using different relay servers and piecing the image);

measuring the client resource availability of local processor resources and available processor time, and maintaining historical information and trends;

measuring the status and performance of the network connecting the client device and server device, and maintaining historical information and trends (col. 6, lines 49-56, col. 10, lines 4-15);

reducing the size of images to conserve storage space in the queue or reduce transmission time between the client and server (col. 10, lines 53-65);

transferring the image from the client device to the server device (col. 5, lines 33-46);

persisting the image on the server device until it is processed or saved (col. 6, lines 49-56, col. 10, lines 4-15).

Tanaka fails to teach the limitation further including placing a copy of the image in a client queue if the image cannot be transmitted immediately and increasing the size of the client queue if it becomes full.

However, Ohtake teaches the use of an image buffer and enlarging the buffer size when that buffer is full (col. 1, lines 53-54, col. 2, lines 6-16).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Tanaka in view of Ohtake to place a copy of the image in a client queue if the image cannot be transmitted immediately and increase the size of the client queue if it becomes full. One would be motivated to do so because it allows an image processing system to decrease the loads on host systems.

Regarding claim 13, Tanaka teaches a system according to claim 12, wherein the step of reserving network bandwidth comprising:

specifying the mapping of image type to a reserved piece of network bandwidth; using any remaining, unreserved network bandwidth for images that do not have any defined mapping;

allocating a separate queue for each piece of network bandwidth or allocating elements from a single queue;

identifying the type of image and routing this image to the appropriate piece of network bandwidth or queue (col. 9, lines 1-37, Tanaka discloses using different servers for different types of image data).

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka and Ohtake further in view of Glass et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,332,193.

Tanaka teaches the invention substantially as claimed including an image transfer system which transfers medical image data on a DICOM (Digital Imaging and Communication in Medicine) standard communication system (see abstract). Ohtake teaches the invention substantially as claimed including an image processing system and an information processing system for receiving data from a number of host systems via a number of input interfaces and processing the received data (see abstract).

As to claim 3, Tanaka and Ohtake teach the method of claim 1.

Tanaka and Ohtake fail to teach the limitation further including the step of transferring the signal from the client to the server can include encrypting the information on the client prior to transmission and decrypting the data once it is received by the server.

However, Glass teaches the transmission of unprocessed biometric data from a camera or other sensor to a server at a remote location over a network in a secure manner (see abstract). Glass teaches the use of encrypting data on the client and decrypting the data on the server (col. 10, lines 59-66).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Tanaka and Ohtake in view of Glass to use encryption and decryption on the client and server. One would be motivated to do so because it allows for the secure transfer of data.

4. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka and Ohtake further in view of Lopresti, U.S. Patent No. 6,298,173.

Tanaka teaches the invention substantially as claimed including an image transfer system which transfers medical image data on a DICOM (Digital Imaging and Communication in Medicine) standard communication system (see abstract). Ohtake teaches the invention substantially as claimed including an image processing system and an information processing system for receiving data from a number of host systems via a number of input interfaces and processing the received data (see abstract).

As to claim 10, Tanaka and Ohtake teach the method of claim 8.

Tanaka and Ohtake fail to teach the limitation further including selecting one or more reduction methods to reduce the image size from a plurality of lossless or lossy compression methods and using lossless compression methods when processor resources are available.

However, Lopresti teaches a method of managing storage in a document image database using document analysis to partition documents into logical regions and document reduction means for reducing storage size of the regions according to various storage preference rules (see abstract). Lopresti teaches reduction of image size from lossless and lossy compression methods and the use of lossless compression methods (col. 6, line 57 – col. 7, line 45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Tanaka and Ohtake in view of Lopresti to select one or more

Art Unit: 2157

reduction methods to reduce the image size from a plurality of lossless or lossy compression methods and to use lossless compression methods. One would be motivated to do so because it allows for good data compression performance.

Regarding claim 11, Tanaka and Ohtake teach a system according to claim 10, wherein the step of selecting one of more reduction methods comprises:

estimating the reduction in image size possible for a specific reduction method; estimating the cost of this reduction where the cost includes the resources required for reduction as well as the time to reduce the image;

performing the reduction if the cost is allowable and the reduction is considered meaningful;

evaluating other reduction methods if the desired amount of reduction has not been achieved (col. 10, lines 53-65).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-18 rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly

Art Unit: 2157

and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Page 12

7. Claims 1-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: The claimed limitations do not work with the preamble. Each limitation should start with "means for".

#### Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments filed September 15, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 9. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the knowledge is generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art.
- 10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The Examiner suggests that the Applicant thoroughly reviews the Ohtake et al. Patent (U.S. Pat. No. 6,111,591) before submitting a response/amendment.

- U.S. Pat. No. 5,179,651 to Taaffe et al.
- U.S. Pat. No. 5,845,018 to Breish
- U.S. Pat. No. 6,388,687 to Brackett et al.
- U.S. Pat. No. 6,564,225 to Brogliatti et al.
- U.S. Pat. No. 5,706,457 to Dwyer et al.
- U.S. Pat. No. 5,359,512 to Nishihara
- U.S. Pat. No. 5,187,750 to Behera
- U.S. Pat. No. 6,519,632 to Brackett et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Avi Gold whose telephone number is 571-272-4002. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:30 (1st Friday Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Avi Gold

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2157

**AMG** 

AKIU EHENNE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

WITH DOVIENTER 2100